Impact Statement from Karen Fraser, widow of Michael Sweet

October 7, 2026

It is with great distress that I find myself, once again, writing another Impact Statement for the offender Craig Munro. It never ends.

The limited information that I received, is that Craig Munro, has been arrested and held in a Federal Prison. Any information that I have since been able to piece together as to what his current crime is, is considered third party information, therefore cannot be stated in my Victim Impact Statement. How can I be expected to write a comprehensive Impact Statement when I don't know what he is being charged with.

Simply stated, this is not right.

Not only his Victims, but all of the Public deserves to know what crime he is now being charged with. As I understand it this could revoke his parole and he could be back in the Federal system.

You will see from the many Impact Statements that I have submitted over the years that protecting the general public from this man is what motivates me to continue. I realize it's a small thing but its all that I can do to help.

The impact upon my life has been enormous. My mind has been left scarred with the brutal details of Mikes death. Horrible gruesome details. And I can not get the image of Mike laying in his coffin out of my mind.

Please let's take this opportunity to keep him in the Federal Prison System, not the Healing Village but the Federal Prison System where he belongs.

I just don't understand it!!! Craig Munro has had 46 years to accept all of the help and support he has been given and still he is not a rehabilitated man.

Frankly I don't believe he wants to be rehabilitated. Let him out again and he continue to do whatever he damn well pleases.

SUPPLEMENTARY VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT OF KAREN FRASER

(October 28, 2026)

In my October 7, 2026 Victim Impact Statement I expressed my considerable frustration and disappointment over the fact that notwithstanding our requests, particularly through our counsel for the details of Mr. Munro's breach of his conditions for day parole which brings us before you today, we still have no answers. Therefore, I was unable to address such an important matter in my October 7, 2026 statement.

Our Impact Statements are intended to communicate to the Board the impact the unspeakable crime Mr. Munro committed has had on us. This includes the Parole Board process and the hearings themselves. At his May 14, 2024 parole hearing we listened to how Mr. Munro challenged indisputable facts of the index offence confirming that he still had no insight into his crime and still was not taking ownership or accountability for his criminal behaviour. A person who does not take ownership of his actions has no genuine remorse either, yet Mr. Munro was still granted day parole. This has an enormous impact on us.

Our counsel has written to CSC and PBC and spoken on the phone with government officials to obtain the full details of Mr. Munro's conduct that led to the suspension of his day parole on or about July 29, 2026. He was told that this was a CSC matter, not a PBC matter. In fact, on July 29, 2026 our counsel was driving from the Vancouver airport to Abbotsford for a parole hearing for another offender who murdered a Toronto police officer when he was informed that Mr. Munro was back in custody for breaching the conditions of his parole. Notwithstanding our counsel's

persistence, he was unable to obtain any information purportedly due to Mr. Munro's privacy rights.

Thereafter, our counsel continued to press the issue. He advised that time was of the essence. He also made the point that there cannot possibly be any privacy interest in Mr. Munro's conduct and behaviour in the community while on supervised day parole. This is conduct done in public, not in the institution.

To the extent that there could be any theoretical argument that Mr. Munro had a "privacy" interest in his behaviour in public – in the community, which is denied, even the *Privacy Act* says that where the public interest in disclosure outweighs any invasion of privacy that could result from the disclosure, disclosure should be made. This weighing of interests must be informed by the purpose of the *Access to Information Act* which is to **"enhance the accountability and transparency of federal institutions in order to promote an open and democratic society and to enable public debate on the conduct of those institutions"**. It's hard to imagine a privacy interest in information that is the subject matter of today's public hearing.

Even just over one week ago our counsel continued to press this issue with government officials, and was told the decision was in the hands of upper management. Our counsel pressed reminding CSC that the hearing was only one week away. We were promised that an answer would be forthcoming.

As of the date of this Supplementary Victim Impact Statement, only two business days before the hearing, we still have no answer and therefore such a critically important matter for us and indeed the general public remains a secret and not addressed in any of our Victim Impact Statements.

No doubt we will gain some insight at the hearing, but if the Board is interested in the impact this has on us, you now know it is enormous. We expect full disclosure at the hearing. That Mr. Munro's privacy rights outweigh our interests and rights and those of the general public in these circumstances is an insult to our personal dignity and Michael's memory. It also marginalizes us as victims.

At a minimum, as a matter of practice, CSC will ask the offender if he consents to the release of the requested information. We can only assume that this was done and Mr. Munro declined. If so, that tells you everything you need to know.

The failure to disclose is also completely contrary to the *Canadian Victims Bill of Rights*. It is not right. It is not just. It is not remotely sensible and defies common sense. The bottom line is that we have been effectively prohibited from addressing a very important matter in our Victim Impact Statements for today's hearing. That hurts.

Thank you.

Karen Fraser

_____

Karen Fraser

October 30, 2026
(Addendum)

Subsequent to submitting this statement, our counsel received an e-mail on October 29, 2026 from the National Manager, Victims Services, Correctional Service Canada. She advised that CSC was unable to provide further details related to Mr. Munro's current suspension prior to the PBC hearing taking place. CSC says that this is to ensure procedural fairness in the event that the information is disseminated in advance of the hearing. CSC says that the non-disclosure is important to ensure the integrity of the decision-making process, but assured us that all relevant information, including the reasons for the suspension, will be considered during the post-suspension hearing.

Respectfully, this is unacceptable. This has nothing to do with procedural fairness. The Board will ensure procedural fairness. On this theory, every offender in Canada would be denied procedural fairness where there is any pre-trial publicity.

Secondly, what if an offender commits a criminal offence while on parole such as sexual assault or a break and enter and is arrested by the police, only to learn that the offender was on parole. Nothing stops the media from reporting on this.

Thirdly, we had no intention of disseminating the information in advance of the hearing nor was this issue ever canvassed with us. That said, if our Victim Impact Statements were to be shared with the public, they would be sent to the media, embargoed, 15 minutes in advance of the hearing so reporters do not have to write quickly and to ensure they get it right.

One day we are told that it is the privacy rights of the offender and now we are told its purportedly procedural fairness and the integrity of your decision-making process. These are just a superficial pretext not to do the right thing.

Whether we agree with the Board's decision or not, you are an independent, arm's length, *quasi*-judicial administrative body that will always act professionally and with the utmost integrity based on the facts and circumstances before you. This Board has rendered many decisions with respect to Mr. Munro that are of public record. The excuse given to us for the non-disclosure of the requested information is deeply disturbing, further marginalizing us, our dignity and Michael's memory.

Thank you.

Karen Fraser

_____

Karen Fraser